IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

EUGENIO S. MATHIS, as personal representative of the Estate of Abelardo Montes, deceased,

Plaintiff,

v. Civ. No. 23-983 JMC/SCY

WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, INC.; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT; CORECIVIC of TENNESSEE, LLC; DAVID JABLONSKI, Secretary of Corrections, in his individual capacity; CASAUNDRA LACKEY, Health Services Administrator, in her individual capacity; ORION STRADFORD, Bureau Chief, in his individual capacity; STEVE MADRID, Grievance Officer, in his individual capacity; HEIDI JUESON, Grievance Officer, in her individual capacity; DR. DIETER DENNIG, Medical Director/Facility Medical Director, in his individual capacity; DR. JOSE ANDRADE, in his individual capacity; and JOHN DOES 1-10 in their individual and official capacities, (employees, staff, agents of WEXFORD HEALTH SOURCES, INC.; STATE OF NEW MEXICO; NEW MEXICO CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT; CORECIVIC of TENNESEE, LLC, respectively).

Defendants.

PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDED DISPOSITION REGARDING DOE DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff named as defendants in this case John Does 1-10, along with 11 named Defendants. Doc. 1 (complaint, filed November 6, 2023). By February 12, 2024, Plaintiff had not served any Defendants, including the John Doe Defendants, and the Court issued an Order to Show Cause. Doc. 3. In that Order, the Court cited Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m):

If a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the court - on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff - must dismiss the action without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time.

Thus, in order to avoid dismissal, the Court ordered Plaintiff, by February 26, 2024, to either effect service on the Defendants or provide the Court with a written explanation showing good cause why service had not been made. Doc. 3.

Accordingly, I recommend that the Court dismiss without prejudice the John Doe

Defendants from this action. Should Plaintiff later discover the identity of additional defendants,
he may move to amend to add any such party. Indeed, even if the John Doe defendants were not

dismissed, Plaintiff would have to move to amend the complaint to substitute the real party for the fictitious Doe. *Id*.

STEVEN C. YARBROUGH

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

THE PARTIES ARE FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT WITHIN 14 DAYS OF SERVICE of a copy of these Proposed Findings and Recommended Disposition they may file written objections with the Clerk of the District Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party must file any objections with the Clerk of the District Court within the fourteen-day period if that party wants to have appellate review of the proposed findings and recommended disposition. If no objections are filed, no appellate review will be allowed.